

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/887,026	06/25/2001	Gershon Elber	01/21687	7435
7	7590 09/06/2005		EXAMINER	
G.E. EHRLICH (1995) LTD.			PESIN, BORIS M	
c/o ANTHON SUITE 207	Y CASTORINA	,	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
2001 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY			2174	
ARLINGTON	, VA 22202		DATE MAILED: 09/06/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

10 · Y	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/887,026	ELBER ET AL	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Boris Pesin	2174	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 20 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS API	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in composition of the periods:</li> </ol>	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a lotice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in pliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	iffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad	·	e final rejection, whichever	eris later In no
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th		•	er io idier. Il lio
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(		IRST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened s above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three montlearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com-	n which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. tatutory period for reply originally set in the hs after the mailing date of the final rejection pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	The appropriate extension in all Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, made at the filed within two mones.	on fee under 37 I as set forth in (b) Bay reduce any Solution that the date
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must			
AMENDMENTS	be med within the time period set it	3111111107 01 11 41:07	,u).
<ul> <li>3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a)  They raise new issues that would require further c (b)  They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel (c)  They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d)  They present additional claims without canceling a</li> </ul>	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow); etter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); educing or simplifying	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.	116 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(	· ——	at Letter	4 P
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be the non-allowable claim(s).	allowable if submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amendn	nent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pr The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.  10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanate the sufficient reasons with the entered of th	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeary and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanat REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	THE STATES OF THE CIAITIS AREF	endy is below or atta-	ciieu.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	out does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s	). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	

KRISTINE KINCAID

KRISTINE KINCAID

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

13. Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The addition of "said virtual object being splitable by locating respective user-sensible and functional encapsulations at different terminals" requires further search and consideration.